

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,281	IGAKI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BARBARA SUMMONS	2817	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) BARBARA SUMMONS. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Jeffrey J. Howell (Reg. No. 46,402). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2008

Time: afternoon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Barbara Summons/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2817

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On November 18 it was discussed that claims 4 and 11 were made identical by the amendment received 11/12/08, and a grammatical error in claim 1 was also noted. In a return call on November 19 it was agreed that the Examiner, by the accompanying examiner's amendment, would correct the grammatical error and change the dependency of claim 11 to depend from claim 6.